

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,023	04/01/2004	Andreas Forster	4452-624	9322	
27799 7	590 05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
COHEN, PO	NTANI, LIEBERMAN &	KING, BRA	KING, BRADLEY T		
551 FIFTH AV	'ENUE			D . BED . W. / DED	
SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10176			3683		
		DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/816,023	FORSTER, ANDREAS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bradley T. King	3683		

	Bradley T. King	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu     See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	Am	_	
	JAMES IV SUPERVISORY F	CCLELLAN PATENT EXAMINER	<b>?</b>
	V		

JAMES MCCLELLAN ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is maintained that the original disclosure fails to preclude an inward loading of the seal. While the original disclosure shows the tension spring outwardly biasing the seal, the preclusion of inward loading is more specific and constitutes new matter. Also note that the original disclosure fails to show the specific relation of the seal with the shaft. It is clear that some degree of contact or loading is required to maintain appropriate sealing. Further, the angular biasing of figures 4-5 could provide inward loading as a result of deformation in response to the axial biasing forces. As the noted limitations lack antecedent basis in the original disclosure and appear to be narrower than the original disclosure, it is maintained that the limitations constitute new matter and the rejections are proper.

,